







Prof. Hanene Boussi

Université Tunis El Manar

manene.boussi@istmt.utm.tn

PhD. Anas Neumann

Université Laval (FSA) / Polytechnique Montréal



anas.neumann.1@ulaval.ca

Mr. Abdala Alsayegh

KW Metropolitan



I. Objective and content of the writing session



Goals

- Discover the **practices involved in writing of a scientific document**;
- Apply the **knowledge learned during the theoretical sessions** offered during the workshop.

"Your mission, should you choose to accept it" consists of four main tasks:

- 1. **Explore a specific topic** related to **green building** or the use of **raw earth** materials;
- 2. Define and formulate a tangible issue as a scientific research problem;
- 3. Propose a project or solution that represents both a **scientifically innovative contribution** and **concrete progress** on the issue;
- 4. Design a plan for implementing, testing, and evaluating your solution.

Oral presentation

If time allows, you will give a brief oral presentation (or *pitch*) of your project

→ You will also be asked to **defend your ideas**, which will be **challenged by questions from the audience**!

II. Define an issue and formulate it as a research problem

→ It would be better to choose an issue relevant to the Tunisian context!



Socioeconomic topics

(i) **social acceptability** and **public opinion** of green buildings (*more or less expensive? better or worse quality of life? longer durability?*), (ii) **ecological need to make them the "new norm"**, (iii) **socio-economic benefits** (*job creation, price, material availability, etc.*), (iv) traditional versus modern **aesthetics** of raw earth, sand, and stone-made buildings, etc.

Purely scientific issues

(i) **chemical composition** of the materials used and impact on the **quality**, **resistance** (*to water or pressure*), and **durability of the bricks**, (ii) **measurable ecological impact** and **environmental effects** of **producing**, **using**, and **disposing** the buildings, (iii) **thermal** and **acoustic insulation**, etc.

Resources

Online GDA Sidi Amor Library https://sidiamor.org/developpement-durable-ressources-tunisie/?filter=true&type_ressource=fichier&ressource_filter=ecoconstruction



Google Scholar

https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en &as_sdt=0%2C5&q=green+building&btn G=



Google Drive folder

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1N FzXj5Tj7BIN2PMOX1x_FtqBsTbhXhQX?u sp=drive_link



III. Propose a scientific and practical contribution



Good practices

- To position your solution and demonstrate its innovative characteristics, you should draw on ideas and inspirational insights, but also on gaps or shortcomings, of past works;
- Depict your ideas with the help of an illustration or diagram to facilitate its communication.
 - → Promote the **use of standards** (*plans*);
- Be as specific as possible! Detail your solution using metrics, KPIs, and data such as quantities, colors, materials, prices, sizes, etc.
- Think about the **potential gains**, but also (*external factors*, *inherent weaknesses*, *infeasibility*, *etc.*) **risks and limits**, of your solution!



IV. Design a experimental protocol to validate the solution



An idea must be **verifiable**, **measurable**, or **quantifiable** to be **considered a scientific contribution!**

Experimental protocol

- Explain **how you plan to execute your solution**;
- Describe how you intend to measure your results and evaluate if you reached your target: metrics used, scales of values (bounds, ranges, categories, etc.), expected objectives, elements of comparison, etc.

The validation strongly depends on the nature of your contribution!

- A socio-economic contribution is often linked to a qualitative protocol: human and expert opinions, observation and interpretation, strong methodological aspects, etc.
- A scientific contribution relies on a quantitative protocol: numerical metrics measured based on formulas or models, data estimated using devices or sampling, or before-after comparison. The methodology is left aside for a more empirical, statistical, or absolute demonstration.







V. Generic structure of a scientific paper





So, what is an abstract?

An abstract is a very small version of a full scientific paper.

It follows the same structure but focuses only on the most important information: the issue studied, the proposed solution, the experiments carried out, and the main results and conclusions.

The actual paper provides **more detail on the existing literature**, on the **methodology**, and often **contains a more in-depth discussion** of the results.

Writing style

It is advisable **as objective and scientific as possible** when writing the paper.

However, it is possible to **choose a more personal, poetic, or even philosophical style** in the **description of the problem studied** and in the **final conclusion!**